

USACE Chief Information Officer Command Staff Inspection

Performance Measurements

Capital Planning and Investment Assessment

Ensure that MSC's properly executive capital planning investment processes

Inspection Checklist Question	Performance Measures	Performance Metric
1. Provide feedback on analysis of MSC data in ITIPS.	(1) % of customer satisfaction	Green: 75% or greater Amber: 50-74% Red: <50%
	(2) % of MSC IT investments captured in ITIPS	
2. What spectrum of criteria do you use to evaluate capital planning and investment decisions? Do you have a uniform approach to evaluate alternative investment decisions? Can you give examples of what fell below the "cut" lines for funding.	(1) % of ITIPS requirements that are met	Green: 75% or greater Amber: 50-74% Red: <50%
	(2) Number of performance measures	Green: => 10 Amber: 10 - 4 Red: < 4
	(3) % of criteria that is in alignment with ITIPS requirements	Green: 75% or greater Amber: 50-74% Red: <50%
3. Implementation of ITIPS/CEFMS linkages discussion. Issues? Concerns?	(1) % of employees satisfied with the ITIPS/CEFMS linkages.	Green: 75% or greater Amber: 50-74% Red: <50%

USACE Chief Information Officer Command Staff Inspection
Performance Measurements

<p>4. What areas would be useful to this MSC if included in the Command Consolidated Guidance (CCG)? When the CCG is issued by HQUSACE, how do you discuss with your subordinate units?</p>	<p>(1) % of people that are aware pf the CCG</p>	<p>Green: 100% Amber: 74-99% Red: <74%</p>
	<p>(2) % of people that find the CCG useful.</p>	<p>Green: 100% Amber: 74-99% Red: <74%</p>